

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,878	11/26/2003	Claudia Pinzou	682.0043USU1	1663	
75	7590 02/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS		
•	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L	ADTIBUT	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
10th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
One Landmark	Square	1751			
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	6		
055 - 4 - 4' - 11 0 - 11 - 11		10/722,878	PINZON ET AL.	÷		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Necholus Ogden	1751			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress		
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ion. s, a reply within the statutory minir period will apply and will expire S a statute, cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered time IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[又]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 November 2003.				
•	his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from considera	tion.			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requiren	ent.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) obje	cted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	ments have been receivenents have been receive priority documents have	ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Nationa	I Stage		
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for	•				
Attachmen	ut(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		nterview Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/9 er No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) 🔲 N	aper No(s)/Mail Date lotice of Informal Patent Application (PT hther:	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/722,878 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dufay et al (2002/0010114).

Page 3

Dufay et al disclose a water based personal cleansing composition comprising 1 to 70% by weight of surfactants such as anionic, nonionic, zwitterionic and mixtures thereof (col. 4, 0060-0061); fats and waxes such as candelila, beeswax, hydrogenated castor (col. 5, 0064); and the balance being water for cleansing compositions (col. 4, 0051-0056). Note, see examples 3-4.

Dufay et al is silent with respect to the viscosity of the composition, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the components in their requisite proportions to encompass the claimed viscosity given that each of the same components are taught and required for the purpose of producing emulsions and/or droplets or dispersions. Therefore, absent a showing to the contrary, the viscosity limitation would have been prima facie in view of the teaching of Dufay et al.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,878 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

No 2-22-05